TDB-ACC-NO: NN9801281 Improved Process for Visual Development DISCLOSURE TITLE: of Client/Server Programs IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, PUBLICATION-DATA: January 1998, US VOLUME NUMBER: 41 ISSUE NUMBER: 1 281 - 284 PAGE NUMBER: PUBLICATION-DATE: January 1, 1998 (19980101) CROSS REFERENCE: 0018-8689-41-1-281 DISCLOSURE TEXT: JavaBeans is quickly accepted as a promising component model. IBM* Visual Age for Java provides various tools supporting beans One portion of the tools is the support development. for developing enterprise Java bean applications. The tool allows the user to visually develop distributed beans applications without writing communication code. The Visual Age approach is to generate the proxy beans from users designated server beans and then link the generated proxy beans with the client beans in a visual environment. However, this approach has two notable drawbacks. First, the users have to deal with the system generated classes which they may not be comfortable with. In

» • • •

addition, there are a large number of the generated classes. For example, there are eight generated classes and two generated interfaces in the simple example provided with Visual Age for Java. Second, the users have to link the client proxy bean first and then test the correctness of their program. If there are something wrong, the users have to repeat the whole development process. The following process is proposed to allow a Java bean application built in a visual environment and run remotely without the above two problems. The improved process can be summarized as follows. Users link their client bean with the server bean first in their visual environment such as Visual Age. A tool can then generate the client and the server proxy beans and allow the client bean to interact with the client proxy bean, and let client proxy bean talk with the server proxy bean using such as Remote Method Invocation (RMI). The user beans will not need to be changed. Next described is one approach of constructing this proxy generation tool. The tool for generating the proxy beans can be built by using Javabeans' introspection and Java's reflection facilities. For example, in CR-xxx, we described a process to dynamically split

a Java program and run it as a client/server application, which includes a process of generating proxy classes using reflection. For JavaBeans, the introspection is needed if users do not use the design pattern in the event handling code. Moreover, the following two problems must be solved in generating proxy bean classes: the name conflicts and event The following examples are used to describe handling. the problems and this solution. Assume that there are two beans X and Y. The class Y and its objects will be placed in a remote machine and the class X and its objects are in the local machine. X is a listener to a property propY in Y. When X is notified the changes of propY, it will call a method B defined in Y. public Class X implements java.beans.PropertyChangeListener [private Y y = new Y(); // register as a listener public X() [y.addPropertyChangeListener(this);] // handle events public void propertyChange(java.beans.PropertyChangeEvent evt)[if ((evt.getSource() == y) && (evt.getPropertyName().equals("propY"))) [y.B(); 1 1 1 public Class Y [private String propY = "propY"; // property to check private java.beans.PropertyChangeSupport pcs;

public Y() [pcs = new java.beans.PropertyChangeSupport(this); 1 // Pattern methods for registring a listener public void addPropertyChangeListener (java.beans.PropertyChangeListener pcl) [pcs.addPropertyChangeListener (pcl);] // Getter and setter methods public String getPropertyY() [return propY; 1 public void setPropertyY(String newValue) [pcs.firePropertyChange("propY", oldValue, newValue); // Code to change the property public void changeProperty() [setPropertyY(newValue); 1 1 Name conflicts. Since the client bean X will talk with the client proxy bean Y' and we will not change the client bean, Y' needs to have the same class name as Y. This name conflict can be solve by storing Y' in a different directory. In the runtime, the same named client proxy bean is running in the client machine. Therefore, the name conflict problem is solved. In Visual Age for Java, the client proxy bean has a different name as the server bean, which introduces another complication for users (the approach described here is very similar with the process used in CR-xxx.) Event handling. In the case of X and Y in the same JVM, when propY changed in the object Y, an event will be sent to the corresponding object X which was registered as a listener of the property propY. However, now the object Y is running in the remote machine,

the event can not be sent to the object X in the normal way. This problem is solved by the following approach. The client proxy Y' is designated as the source of the event which the client object is listen to. The server proxy Y'' is designated as the target object of the event from the server object. In other words, it listens the event sent by the server object. When the event is sent to the server proxy object, it sends remote method call to the client proxy with the event object as a parameter. The client proxy will use the value in the event object to fire the event and trigger the client bean. The client bean can then take the appropriate actions. For example, it may even invoke the method B in Y. This method invocation will be relayed by the client proxy Y' to call the remote method in the server proxy bean Y''. One more detail was left on how the server proxy object to find out the client proxy object which will receive the remote method call when the event is received by the server proxy object. One solution would be to register the client proxy object with the server proxy object. When the server proxy object receives the event, it will call back to the corresponding client proxy object. In summary, the key idea is the use of the proxy beans as new event

· . · .

- -----

06/25/2003, EAST Version: 1.03.0002

source and target, and pass event objects using the remote method calls coded in the proxy beans. As mentioned, this approach has two advantages. First, since the user's applications can be first assembled as a single application that is achieved: test beans locally, and run beans remotely. Therefore, it reduces the overhead of the application development. Second, the process of developing distributed beans applications becomes more transparent to the end user. Now, users need not to deal with large amount of beans generated from the systems. This approach can be rapidly incorporated into Visual Age for Java. It can also be used as a value-added component for other visual program development tools such as IBM's BeanMachine and WebRunner, Symentec Visual Cafe and Sun Java Workshop. Symentec Visual Cafe contains a visual development environment to allow users to develop GUI component visually. It can be used to generate and assemble beans. Similarly, Sun Java Workshop is a visual Java development environment which can generate beans. Borland JBuilder includes full support for visually constructing beans, applets, and applications using standard and third-party beans. Lotus BeanMachine for Java is an interactive visual authoring tool which allows non-programmers and Web professionals to combine Java and JavaBeans

•

components into

\$ une .

.

intranet and Internet applications. It contains the full JDBC

support

for open data access from text files, spreadsheets, and relational

.

,

databases. IBM WebRunner contains bean tools to assist in building

beans visually.

Even though some of these products produce limited support for

developing distributed applications, for example, Sun Java WorkShop

allows the use of RMIC from the IDE, Borland JBuilder will support

developing distributed applications, none of them support automatic

visual development of distributed applications.

SECURITY: Use, copying and distribution of this data is subject to the restictions in the Agreement For IBM TDB Database and Related Computer Databases. Unpublished - all rights reserved under the Copyright Laws of the United States. Contains confidential commercial information of IBM exempt from FOIA disclosure per 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) and protected under the Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. 1905.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT: The text of this article is Copyrighted (c) IBM Corporation 1998. All rights reserved.

06/25/2003, EAST Version: 1.03.0002